



Suncare Research Laboratories, LLC

2518-B Reynolda Road
Winston Salem, NC 27106 USA
(336) 725-6501
www.suncarelab.com

(336) 725-6503 fax

jstanfield@suncarelab.com

SRL2010-117: FINAL REPORT

June 7, 2010

- Title:** Evaluation of the Static Sun Protection Factor (SPF) of a Sunscreen Formula
- Objective:** To measure the Static SPF of an over-the-counter (OTC) sunscreen formula and the 8% Homosalate Standard (HMS) in human volunteers according to the FDA Final Monograph¹
- Test Product:** LP-10 - Expected Static SPF 20
- Study Design:** Non-randomized, with blinded evaluations
- Study Dates:** May 11, 2010 to June 4, 2010
- Results:** Twenty subjects completed the test. The mean SPF of the test product, LP-10, was 22.19 (n=20, SD=1.8). This product meets FDA Final Monograph labeling requirements for Static SPF 20.¹
- Adverse Experience:** One Adverse Experience was reported that was not related to the test product
- Sponsor:** Mineral Evolution, LLC
1117 E. Putnam Ave #230
Riverside, CT 06878
- Investigator:** Joseph W. Stanfield, M. S.

Summary:

On the first day of the study each subject received a series of UV doses from a xenon arc solar simulator to an unprotected site on the mid-back. On the second day the minimal erythema dose (MED) was determined as the lowest UV dose which produced mild erythema reaching the borders of the exposure site. Then 100 mg of the test product and 100 mg of the HMS standard were applied to separate, adjacent 50 cm² areas of the mid-back (8% Homosalate (HMS)).

The test product had an expected SPF of 20 and the HMS standard sunscreen had an expected SPF of 4. After a 15-minute drying period UV doses ranging from 0.76 to 1.32 times the product of the MED and 25 were administered to the test sunscreen-protected areas. UV doses ranging from 0.64 to 1.56 times the product of the MED and 4 were administered to the HMS standard sunscreen-protected area. A series of UV doses were also administered to a second unprotected site. On the third day the MED was determined for the sunscreen-protected sites and the unprotected site. The SPF of each sunscreen was calculated as the ratio of the MED for each sunscreen-protected site to the final MED.

According to the FDA Final Monograph¹, the labeled SPF must be calculated as follows:

Labeled SPF = Mean SPF Value - A
Rounded down to the nearest whole number

Where A = ts/\sqrt{n} and represents the 95% confidence interval.

t = upper 5% of student's t distribution
s = Standard Deviation
n = Number of Subjects

For the panel to be valid, the SPF of the HMS standard sunscreen must fall within the standard deviation range of the expected SPF (i.e. 4.47 ± 1.279) and the 95% confidence interval for the mean SPF of the HMS standard sunscreen must contain the value 4.

Results:

Twenty subjects, 7 men and 13 women, who provided written, informed consent, completed the study. Subjects included 2 with skin type I, 13 with skin type II and 5 with skin type III.¹ Ages ranged from 20 to 63 years and the mean age was 40.4 years (n=20, SD=14.7). Subject demographic and static SPF results are listed in Table 1.

LP-10

The mean static SPF of the test product, LP-10, was 22.19 (n=20, SD=1.8). The mean static SPF - A, rounded down to the nearest whole number was 21.

HMS Standard

The mean SPF of the HMS standard was 4.5 (n=20, SD=0.6). The 95% Confidence interval included the value 4.

Adverse Experience:

None Reported.

Table 1. Subject Demographic and Static SPF Data for LP-10 and HMS Standard

SRL2010-117: Mineral Evolution, LLC										LP-10	HMS Standard
Subject #	SRL ID#	Initials	Age	Sex	Skin Type	Lamp	Eff mW/cm ²	Final MED(sec)	SPF	SPF	
01	409	BAL	62	F	III	1	0.654	10	22.00	3.20	
02	408	BHL	63	M	III	8	1.500	16	23.20	4.38	
03	1023	CBB	44	F	I	1	0.654	13	21.38	4.38	
04	915	KGH	47	F	III	1	0.654	16	21.44	4.06	
05	653	CKB	40	F	II	2	0.734	16	22.50	5.06	
06	446	LAH	61	F	II	2	0.734	10	26.00	5.70	
07	1105	TMC	19	F	I	2	0.734	10	23.00	4.40	
08	327	WVH	42	M	II	2	0.734	16	23.10	4.38	
09	110	LKM	42	M	II	8	1.500	13	21.38	4.38	
10	741	TIJ	33	F	II	2	0.734	13	24.00	5.00	
11	235	KAO	63	F	II	2	0.734	16	22.44	5.06	
12	1109	LLL	22	M	II	8	1.500	16	21.44	4.06	
13	621	ADW	49	M	III	1	0.654	13	22.00	5.00	
14	496	MSP	26	M	II	2	0.734	13	22.90	5.00	
15	1062	LND	41	F	III	1	0.654	13	22.30	5.00	
16	1111	SKB	45	F	II	8	1.500	13	23.00	4.38	
17	268	SNM	41	F	II	2	0.734	13	24.30	4.38	
18	896	RKP	23	F	II	8	1.500	16	18.69	3.56	
19	897	DMP	20	M	II	1	0.654	13	17.38	4.38	
20	564	BDL	24	F	II	1	0.654	13	21.38	5.00	
			Mean=	40.4				Mean=	22.19	Mean=	4.5
			SD=	14.7				SD=	1.8	SD=	0.6
			n=	20				n=	20	n=	20
								A=	0.6	Mean+95% CI=	5
								Mean-A=	21.59	Mean-95%CI=	4

Suncare Research Laboratories, LLC
2518-B Reynolda
Road Winston Salem, NC 27106
(336) 725-6501
(336) 725-6503 fax

SRL2010-117
LP-10

Conclusion:

The test product, LP-10, meets the labeling requirement for Static SPF 20 according to the FDA Final Monograph.¹



Joseph W. Stanfield, M. S. – Investigator

6/07/10
Date

References:

1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Sunscreen Drug Products for Over-the Counter Human Use; Final Monograph; 21CFR Parts 310, 352, 700 and 740. Federal Register 64 (98) May 21, 1999. pp 27666-27693